arXiv:1704.06384v1 [math.DG] 21 Apr 2017

METRICS ON A CLOSED SURFACE OF GENUS TWO WHICH MAXIMIZE THE FIRST EIGENVALUE OF THE LAPLACIAN

SHIN NAYATANI AND TOSHIHIRO SHODA

Abstract. Jakobson-Levitin-Nadirashvili-Nigam-Polterovich conjectured that a certain singular metric on the Bolza surface, with area normalized, maximizes the first eigenvalue of the Laplacian. In this note, we announce that this conjecture is true, and outline the proof.

Introduction

Let M be a closed surface, that is, a compact surface without boundary. For a Riemannian metric ds^2 on M, let

$$\Lambda(ds^2) := \lambda_1(ds^2) \cdot \mathrm{Area}(ds^2),$$

where $\lambda_1(ds^2)$ is the first positive eigenvalue of the Laplacian and $\mathrm{Area}(ds^2)$ is the area of M, both with respect to ds^2. As for the upper bound of the quantity $\Lambda(ds^2)$, the following results are well-known.

Theorem 1. (i) (Hersch [5]) *For any metric ds^2 on the sphere S^2, $\Lambda(ds^2) \le 8\pi$ holds.*
(ii) (Yang-Yau [13]) *If M admits a meromorphic function $(M, ds^2) \to \overline{\mathbb{C}} = \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ of degree d, then $\Lambda(ds^2) \le 8\pi \cdot d$ holds. In particular, if γ is the genus of M, then for any metric ds^2 on M, we have*

$$\Lambda(ds^2) \le 8\pi \cdot \left[\frac{\gamma+3}{2}\right]. \tag{1}$$

The inequality of (i) is sharp as it gets an equation for the standard metric of S^2. On the other hand, Nadirashvili [8] found the sharp bound $8\pi^2/\sqrt{3}$ of $\Lambda(ds^2)$ for metrics ds^2 on the torus T^2. Thus the inequality (1) is not sharp when $\gamma = 1$.

When $\gamma = 2$, the inequality (1) becomes $\Lambda(ds^2) \le 16\pi$. Jakobson-Levitin-Nadirashvili-Nigam-Polterovich [6] focused their attention to the following metric. Let B be the closed Riemann surface of genus two defined by

$$B = \{(z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mid w^2 = z(z^4 - 1)\} \cup \{(\infty, \infty)\},$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 58J50; Secondary 53A10.
The second author was partly supported by JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) 16K05134.

called the *Bolza surface*. Let $g_B\colon B \to \overline{\mathbb{C}}$ be the meromorphic function of degree two given by $g_B(z,w) = z$. If we set $ds_B^2 = {g_B}^* ds_{S^2}^2$, where $ds_{S^2}^2$ is the standard metric of $S^2 = \overline{\mathbb{C}}$, then ds_B^2 is a singular Riemannian metric which degenerates exactly at the ramification points of g_B. Since the map $g_B\colon B \to \overline{\mathbb{C}}$ is a two-sheeted branched covering, we have $\mathrm{Area}(ds_B^2) = 8\pi$.

Conjecture (Jakobson et al. [6])**.** $\lambda_1(ds_B^2) = 2$ *should hold. Therefore,* $\Lambda(ds_B^2) = 16\pi$.

In this note, we settle the conjecture in the affirmative.

In §1, we explain the relation of the above conjecture to the problem of computing the Morse index of a minimal surface in Euclidean three-space. After then, in §2, we outline the proof of the conjecture.

Acknowledgement. The authors would like to thank Rick Schoen [12] for bringing the conjecture of Jakobson et al. to their attention.

1. Index and nullity of a meromorphic function

The problem of estimating and computing the Morse (instability) index of a complete minimal surface in $\mathbb{R}^3$ (and other flat three-spaces) has been studied by various authors. In this section, we explain that the conjecture of Jakobson et al. is closely related to this problem.

Let M be an orientable complete minimal surface in $\mathbb{R}^3$. M is said to be *stable* if the second variation of area for any compactly supported variation of M is nonnegative, and the plane is the only stable surface. For non-planar M, we define the *Morse index* of M, $\mathrm{Ind}(M)$, as follows: For a relatively compact domain $\Omega \subset M$, $\mathrm{Ind}(\Omega)$ is defined as the maximal dimension of a subspace $V \subset C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ satisfying

$$\forall u \in V \setminus \{0\}, \quad \int_\Omega (|du|^2 + 2Ku^2)\, da < 0,$$

where K and da are the Gaussian curvature and the area element of M, respectively. Note that $\mathrm{Ind}(\Omega)$ is necessarily finite. We then define

$$\mathrm{Ind}(M) = \sup_\Omega \mathrm{Ind}(\Omega),$$

where the supremum is taken over all relatively compact domains $\Omega \subset M$. While $\mathrm{Ind}(M)$ so defined may become infinity, it was proved by Fischer-Colbrie [3] that

$$\mathrm{Ind}(M) < \infty \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \int_M (-K)\, da < \infty.$$

Therefore, in studying $\mathrm{Ind}(M)$ quantitatively, we may assume that $\displaystyle\int_M (-K)\, da < \infty$. In this case, M is conformally equivalent to a compact Riemann surface $\overline{M}$

with finitely many punctures and the Gauss map of M, $g\colon M \to \overline{\mathbb{C}}$, extends to a meromorphic function $\overline{g}\colon \overline{M} \to \overline{\mathbb{C}}$. (This is a classical result due to Osserman [11].)

In general, for a nonconstant meromorphic function $g\colon M \to \overline{\mathbb{C}}$ on a compact Riemann surface M, we pull back the standard metric of $\overline{\mathbb{C}} = S^2$ by g and obtain a singular metric ds_g^2 (as we did to get ds_B^2). Let Δ_g denote the Laplacian defined with respect to ds_g^2, and $\mathrm{Ind}(g)$ (resp. $\mathrm{Nul}(g)$) the number of eigenvalues of $-\Delta_g$ less than 2 counted with multiplicity (resp. the multiplicity of eigenvalue 2 of $-\Delta_g$).

Proposition 2 (Fischer-Colbrie [3], Ejiri-Kotani [2], Montiel-Ros [7])**.** *The Morse index* $\mathrm{Ind}(M)$ *of a complete minimal surface* M *in* $\mathbb{R}^3$ *of finite total curvature coincides with the index* $\mathrm{Ind}(\overline{g})$ *of the extended Gauss map* $\overline{g}$*. The nullity* $\mathrm{Nul}(\overline{g})$ *equals the dimension of the vector space of all bounded Jacobi fields on* M*.*

Since constant functions are necessarily eigenfunctions of $-\Delta_g$ corresponding to eigenvalue 0, we have $\mathrm{Ind}(g) \geq 1$. The conjecture of Jakobson et al. asserts that when $g = g_B$, the second least eigenvalue of $-\Delta_{g_B}$ should equal 2, and so it is equivalent to asserting that $\mathrm{Ind}(g_B) = 1$.

2. On proof of the conjecture

In this section, we outline the proof of the conjecture of Jakobson et al. We slightly modify the defining equation of B, and re-define

$$B = \{(z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mid w^2 = z(z^4 + 1)\} \cup \{(\infty, \infty)\}.$$

For $0 < \theta < \pi/2$, let B_θ be the Riemann surface of genus two defined by

$$B_\theta = \{(z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mid w^2 = z(z^4 + 2\cos\theta \cdot z^2 + 1)\} \cup \{(\infty, \infty)\}.$$

Note that $B_{\pi/4} = B$ and the equation of B_θ is rewritten as

$$w^2 = z(z - e^{i(\pi/2-\theta)})(z - e^{i(\pi/2+\theta)})(z - e^{-i(\pi/2-\theta)})(z - e^{-i(\pi/2+\theta)}).$$

The meromorphic function $g_\theta : B_\theta \ni (z, w) \mapsto z \in \overline{\mathbb{C}}$ gives a two-sheeted branched covering which ramifies at the six points $(0, 0)$, $(e^{\pm i(\pi/2\pm\theta)}, 0)$, (∞, ∞). Consider the hyperelliptic involution $j : B_\theta \to B_\theta$ given by $j(z, w) = (z, -w)$ and the three anti-holomorphic involutions s_1, s_2, $s_3 : B_\theta \to B_\theta$ given by $s_1(z, w) = (\overline{z}, \overline{w})$, $s_2(z, w) = (-\overline{z}, i\,\overline{w})$, $s_3(z, w) = (1/\overline{z}, \overline{w}/\overline{z}^3)$. We have

$$s_1 \circ s_3 = s_3 \circ s_1, \quad s_2 \circ s_3 = s_3 \circ s_2, \quad s_2 \circ s_1 = j \circ s_1 \circ s_2.$$

Let ds_θ^2 denote the pull-back of the standard metric of $S^2 = \overline{\mathbb{C}}$ by the meromorphic function $g_\theta\colon B_\theta \ni (z, w) \mapsto z \in \overline{\mathbb{C}}$, and Δ_θ the corresponding Laplacian. ds_θ^2 is a singular metric which degenerates precisely at the six ramification points

$(0,0)$, $(e^{\pm i(\pi/2\pm\theta)},0)$, (∞,∞) of g_θ. Define three great circular arcs C_1, C_2, C_3 on $S^2=\overline{\mathbb{C}}$ by

$$C_1=\{t\mid t\geq 0\}\cup\{\infty\},\ C_2=\{e^{i(\pi/2+t)}\mid -\theta\leq t\leq\theta\},$$
$$C_3=\{e^{-i(\pi/2+t)}\mid -\theta\leq t\leq\theta\}.$$

Then (B_θ, ds^2_θ) is represented as the gluing of two copies of $(S^2, ds^2_{S^2})$ along C_1, C_2, C_3. As $\theta\to 0$, the two arcs C_2, C_3 collapse to points, and by neglecting the contact at these two points, we obtain the metric which is the gluing of two copies of $(S^2, ds^2_{S^2})$ along C_1. The last metric, denoted by ds^2_0, is nothing but the pull-back of $ds^2_{S^2}$ by the degree two rational function $g_0\colon \overline{\mathbb{C}}\ni z\mapsto z^2\in\overline{\mathbb{C}}$. Let Δ_0 be the Laplacian defined with respect to ds^2_0. Then we have the following lemma regarding the eigenvalues of $-\Delta_\theta$ and $-\Delta_0$:

Lemma 3. *For every positive integer k, the k-th eigenvalue $\lambda_k(ds^2_\theta)$ of $-\Delta_\theta$ is continuous in θ, and as $\theta\to 0$ it converges to the k-th eigenvalue $\lambda_k(ds^2_0)$ of $-\Delta_0$.*

This lemma may be proved by arguments similar to those in the proof of [10, Theorem 1].

In [9], by computing all the eigenvalues of $-\Delta_0$ explicitly, it it shown that $\mathrm{Ind}(g_0)=3$ and $\mathrm{Nul}(g_0)=3$. On the other hand, it is known that $\mathrm{Nul}(g)\geq 3$ for any nonconstant meromorphic function g. In fact, the pull-back of three independent eigenfunctions of $-\Delta_{S^2}$, the Laplacian with respect to $ds^2_{S^2}$, belonging to the eigenvalue 2 by g give eigenfunctions of $-\Delta_g$ belonging to the eigenvalue 2. From these facts and Lemma 3, it follows that $\mathrm{Ind}(g_\theta)=3$ and $\mathrm{Nul}(g_\theta)=3$ for θ sufficiently close to 0.

We now observe the change of $\mathrm{Nul}(g_\theta)$ as θ increases up to $\pi/4$. To do this, we use the work of Ejiri-Kotani [2] and Montiel-Ros [7]. If g is a nonconstant meromorphic function such that $\mathrm{Nul}(g)>3$, then there exists an *extra eigenfunction*, that is, an eigenfunction of $-\Delta_g$ belonging to the eigenvalue 2 which is not the pull-back of an eigenfunction of $-\Delta_{S^2}$ belonging to the eigenvalue 2 by g. As shown in [2, 7], any extra eigenfunction can be written as the support function (that is, the inner product of the position vector field and the unit normal vector field) of a complete branched minimal surface of finite total curvature whose extended Gauss map is g and whose ends are all planar. By using Weierstrass representation, we can express such a minimal surface as follows. Let P and $B=\sum_{j=1}^l e_j p_j$ be the polar and ramification divisors of g respectively, and set $D=B-2P$. Suppose that there exists a non-zero $\omega\in H^0(M,\, K_M\otimes D)$ satisfying

$$\mathrm{Res}_{p_j}\omega=0,\quad 1\leq\forall j\leq l \tag{2}$$

and

$$\Re \int_\ell {}^t(1-g^2,\, i(1+g^2),\, 2g)\omega = \mathbf{o}, \quad \forall \ell \in H_1(M,\, \mathbb{Z}), \tag{3}$$

where K_M is the canonical divisor of M. Then for any such ω,

$$X_\omega(p) = \Re \int_{p_0}^{p} {}^t(1-g^2,\, i(1+g^2),\, 2g)\, \omega$$

gives a minimal surface with the above properties.

We now apply the general result as above to (B_θ, g_θ). We can determine the values of θ for which there exists a non-zero $\omega \in H^0(B_\theta,\, K_{B_\theta} \otimes D)$ satisfying (2) and (3). In fact, we have

Lemma 4. *Set*

$$A = \int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{\sqrt{t(t^4+2\cos(2\theta)t^2+1)}}, \quad B = \int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{\sqrt{t(t^4-2\cos(2\theta)t^2+1)}},$$
$$C = \int_0^\infty \frac{t^3\, dt}{\sqrt{t(t^4+2\cos(2\theta)t^2+1)}^3}, \quad D = \int_0^\infty \frac{t^3\, dt}{\sqrt{t(t^4-2\cos(2\theta)t^2+1)}^3}.$$

Let $\theta_1\, (\approx 0.65)$ be the unique solution of

$$A(B^2+16D^2\sin^2(2\theta)) + 8(AD+BC)(B\cos(2\theta) - 4D\sin^2(2\theta)) = 0,$$

and set $\theta_2 = \pi/2 - \theta_1\, (\approx 0.91)$. Then there exists a non-zero $\omega \in H^0(B_\theta,\, K_{B_\theta} \otimes D)$ satisfying (2) and (3) if and only if $\theta = \theta_1,\, \theta_2$. If $\theta = \theta_1$, then any such ω is given by a real linear combination of

$$\begin{aligned}
\omega_1 :=\ & -\frac{AD+3BC}{4(AD+BC)}\frac{dz}{w} - \frac{AD+3BC}{4(AD+BC)}\frac{dz}{w^3} + \frac{z}{w^3}dz \\
& + \frac{AB+(AD-BC)\cos(2\theta)}{2(AD+BC)}\frac{z^2}{w^3}dz + \frac{AB+2(AD+BC)\cos(2\theta)}{2(AD+BC)}\frac{z^3}{w^3}dz \\
& + \frac{3AD+BC}{4(AD+BC)}\frac{z^4}{w^3}dz, \\
\omega_2 :=\ & i\Bigg(-\frac{AD+3BC}{4(AD+BC)}\frac{dz}{w} + \frac{AD+3BC}{4(AD+BC)}\frac{dz}{w^3} + \frac{z}{w^3}dz \\
& - \frac{AB+(AD-BC)\cos(2\theta)}{2(AD+BC)}\frac{z^2}{w^3}dz + \frac{AB+2(AD+BC)\cos(2\theta)}{2(AD+BC)}\frac{z^3}{w^3}dz \\
& - \frac{3AD+BC}{4(AD+BC)}\frac{z^4}{w^3}dz\Bigg).
\end{aligned}$$

(*We can obtain a similar assertion for $\theta = \theta_2$.*)

The lemma implies that there are two independent extra eigenfunctions when $\theta = \theta_1,\, \theta_2$. Thus we obtain

Theorem 5.

$$\text{Nul}\,(g_\theta) = \begin{cases} 5, & \theta = \theta_1,\, \theta_2, \\ 3, & \theta \neq \theta_1,\, \theta_2. \end{cases} \tag{4}$$

To see how $\text{Ind}\,(g_\theta)$ changes as θ increases and passes θ_1, we use symmetries of B_θ. Since the three involutions j, s_1, s_3 of B_θ commute one another, the group of symmetries, H, generated by them is an abelian group of order eight. A fundamental domain for the action of H on B_θ is given by the intersection of the upper half plane and the unit disk, denoted by Ω.



FIGURE 1. The fundamental domain Ω for H

Recall that B_θ is the gluing of two copies of $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$. The fixed point sets of the anti-holomorphic involutions s_1, $j \circ s_1$, s_3, $j \circ s_3$ are as follows. (See Figure 2.)

- The fixed point set of s_1 is the red half-line on the real axis,
- The fixed point set of $j \circ s_1$ is the blue half-line on the real axis,
- The fixed point set of s_3 is the union of the red arcs on the unit circle,
- The fixed point set of $j \circ s_3$ is the union of the blue arcs on the unit circle.



FIGURE 2. Fixed point sets of s_1, $j \circ s_1$, s_3, $j \circ s_3$

Since H is abelian and preserves ds^2_θ, each eigenspace of $-\Delta_\theta$ is invariant under the action of H and spanned by simultaneous eigenvectors for all $s \in H$. Let u_i, $i = 1, 2$, be the support functions of the branched minimal immersions X_{ω_i}; they are extra eigenfunctions for $\theta = \theta_1$. The following lemma shows how H acts on u_1, u_2.

Lemma 6.

$$s_1^* u_1 = u_1, \quad s_3^* u_1 = u_1, \quad j^* u_1 = -u_1,$$
$$s_1^* u_2 = -u_2, \quad s_3^* u_2 = u_2, \quad j^* u_2 = -u_2.$$

By this lemma, we have

$$s_1^* u_1 = u_1, \quad (j \circ s_1)^* u_1 = -u_1, \quad s_3^* u_1 = u_1, \quad (j \circ s_3)^* u_1 = -u_1,$$
$$s_1^* u_2 = -u_2, \quad (j \circ s_1)^* u_2 = u_2, \quad s_3^* u_2 = u_2, \quad (j \circ s_3)^* u_2 = -u_2.$$

Henceforth, we regard u_1 and u_2 as functions on Ω. Then the preceding observations mean that u_1 satisfies the Dirichlet (resp. Neumann) condition on the blue (resp. red) segments. As θ increases, the blue (resp. red) segment in the unit circle becomes longer (resp. shorter). Hence, by the variational characterization of eigenvalues, the eigenvalues of the Laplacian in Ω under the boundary conditions as above monotonically increase. Similarly, u_2 satisfies the Dirichlet (resp. Neumann) condition on the blue (resp. red) segment in the unit circle and on the red (resp. blue) segment in the real axis, and therefore the eigenvalues of the Laplacian in Ω under the boundary conditions of u_2 also monotonically increase.



FIGURE 3. Fixed point sets in $\partial\Omega$

The two assertions we just made mean that there exist two independent eigenfunctions of $-\Delta_\theta$ with the same type of symmetry as u_1 and u_2 respectively, such that the corresponding eigenvalues increase monotonically and continuously. On the other hand, for $0 < \theta < \theta_2$, the eigenvalues corresponding to eigenfunctions of $-\Delta_\theta$ with the other types of symmetry cannot be 2. Hence, the number of such eigenvalues less than 2 remains unchanged throughout $(0, \theta_2)$. (Here we use the continuity of eigenvalues in θ again.)

We may now conclude that as θ increases and passes θ_1, two eigenvalues of $-\Delta_\theta$ will monotonically increase and pass 2 upward, and thus the number of eigenvalues less than 2 decreases by two. One can also verify that if θ increases further and passes θ_2, then two eigenvalues of $-\Delta_\theta$ will decrease and pass 2 downward, and the number of eigenvalues less than 2 increases by two. To summerize, we have proved the following

Theorem 7.

$$\mathrm{Ind}(g_\theta) = \begin{cases} 3, & 0 < \theta < \theta_1, \\ 1, & \theta_1 \le \theta \le \theta_2, \\ 3, & \theta_2 < \theta < \pi/2. \end{cases} \tag{5}$$

This theorem implies that the conjecture of Jakobson et al. is true. Moreover, as $\Lambda(ds^2_\theta) = 16\pi$ for $\theta_1 \le \theta \le \theta_2$, 16π is a degenerate maximum for Λ in the genus two case as predicted in [6]. It is also remarked in [6] that the conjecture implies the inequality $\Lambda(ds^2) \le 16\pi$ is sharp in the class of smooth metrics, although the equality may not be attained. It is worth while to mention that the Lawson minimal surface of genus two in S^3 has $\lambda_1 = 2$ [1] and Area ≈ 21.91 [4], and therefore $\Lambda = 43.82 < 16\pi$.

References

[1] J. Choe and M. Soret, *First eigenvalue of symmetric minimal surfaces in S^3*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **58** (2009), 269–281.

[2] N. Ejiri and M. Kotani, *Index and flat ends of minimal surfaces*, Tokyo J. Math. **16** (1993), no. 1, 37–48.

[3] D. Fischer-Colbrie, *On complete minimal surfaces with finite Morse index in three-manifolds*, Invent. Math. **82** (1985), no. 1, 121–132.

[4] S. Heller and N. Schmitt, *Deformations of symmetric CMC surfaces in the 3-sphere*, Exp. Math. **24** (2015), 65–75.

[5] J. Hersch, *Quatre propriétés isopérimétriques de membranes sphériques homogénes*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sèr. A-B **270** (1970), A1645–A1648.

[6] D. Jakobson, M. Levitin, N. Nadirashvili, N. Nigam, I. Polterovich, *How large can the first eigenvalue be on a surface of genus two?*, Int. Math. Res. Not. (2005), no. 63, 3967–3985.

[7] S. Montiel and A. Ros, *Schr´odinger operators associated to a holomorphic map*, Global differential geometry and global analysis (Berlin, 1990), 147–174, Lecture Notes in Math., 1481, Springer, Berlin, 1991.

[8] N. Nadirashvili, *Berger's isoperimetric problem and minimal immersions of surfaces*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **6** (1996), no. 5, 877–897.

[9] S. Nayatani, *Lower bounds for the Morse index of complete minimal surfaces in Euclidean 3-space*, Osaka J. Math. **27** (1990), no. 2, 453–464.

[10] S. Nayatani, *Morse index and Gauss maps of complete minimal surfaces in Euclidean 3-space*, Comment. Math. Helv. **68** (1993), no. 4, 511–537.

[11] R. Osserman, *A survey of minimal surfaces. Second edition*, Dover Publications, Inc., New York, 1986.

[12] R. M. Schoen, *Optimal geometries on surfaces*, Colloquium at the Graduate School of Mathematics, Nagoya University, December 14, 2015.

[13] P. C. Yang and S. T. Yau, *Eigenvalues of the Laplacian of compact Riemann surfaces and minimal submanifolds*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4) **7** (1980), no. 1, 55–63.

Graduate School of Mathematics, Nagoya University, Chikusa-ku, Nagoya 464-8602, Japan

E-mail address: nayatani@math.nagoya-u.ac.jp

Faculty of Education, Saga University, Honjo-machi, Saga 840-8502, Japan

E-mail address: tshoda@cc.saga-u.ac.jp